Proposal Evaluation Criteria

Eligible projects will be evaluated using the following evaluation questions, each with a specific score weight. Total score 100

	Sub-Characteristics	Items	Score Weight
I. Implementing Capacity Criteria	**1. General Capacity**		**10**
		1.1 Does the implementing organization's track record match the scale and nature of the proposed project?	5
		1.2 Does the proposed organization have proven experience in managing grants/project from International Organizations?	
		1.3 Does the proposed organization have expertise in support for managing proposed project discipline targeting refugees & marginalized/underprivileged groups?	
		1.4 Is the number and experience of staff assigned to the proposed project appropriate to implement the proposed activities?	5
		1.5 Is there evidence of financial accountability with the last 2 projects/last two grant components audited financial.	
	2. Structural Capacity		**5**
		2.1 Is there a physical presence of a functional office in the project town (where the project will be implemented)?	5
		2.2 Is there evidence of access/availability of training facilities (vocational or otherwise)?	
		2.3 If hybrid (blended) format of training is adopted, was there evidence of experience/expertise in managing online training?	
II. Technical Criteria	**1. Relevance of the proposed project**		**20**
		1.1 Are the overall proposed project objectives and expected outcomes clear, relevant, innovative, and clearly defined?	5
		1.2 Is the proposed project relevant to the specific focus of this CFP, and contributes to the achievement of the CFP objectives?	5
		1.3. Is the proposed project relevant to recognized targeted beneficiaries' identified needs and priorities?	5
		1.4 Does the proposed project display clear understanding of the issues and problem analysis?	5
	2. Method and effectiveness of proposed outputs and activities		**10**
		2.1 Are the proposed activities and methods of implementation innovative, clear, coherent, and adequate for achieving the proposed project goals and the expected results and outcomes?	5

	2.2 Are the targeted area(s) of intervention and scope relevant and realistic?	5
3. Targeted beneficiaries		**10**
	3.1 Does the project promote gender equality during its implementation and delivery, as well as content-wise, while attempts will be made to ensure the maximum participation of women	5
	3.2 Does the project address marginalised and vulnerable groups	5
4. Risks, Impact, Sustainability and Replicability		**20**
	4.1 Does the description of the current constraints the requesting organisation faces in achieving its goals and the root causes of these problems are clear and the interventions required by the project to solve these problems are logical ?	5
	4.2 Does the project have a realistic approach, with sustainable effects after its completion?	5
	4.3 Does the project have the potential to be scaled up and/or replicated over time and be implemented elsewhere?	5
	4.4 Does the project develop and/or transfer knowledge, skills, and technologies to the local stakeholders for future continuation or upscaling of the project?	5
5. Monitoring & Evaluation		**10**
	5.1 Is there serious consideration of monitoring and evaluation throughout the lifespan of the proposed project?	5
	5.2 Is there a relatively clear plan(s) of quality assurance, monitoring, and evaluation?	5
6. Logical Framework and Budget		**15**
	6.1 Is the budget clear, sufficient & consistent with project activities and goal?	5
	6.2 Does the budget offer value for money and are expenditures reasonable for what is offered?	5
	6.3 Does the logical framework present a clear coherence between project activities and project level outputs. Means of verification, risks and assumptions are clear and logical.	5

For evaluators only
Do you recommend this project to be funded? Why?